

THE SUNDAY JOURNAL

SUNDAY, APRIL 26, 1891.

WASHINGTON OFFICE—513 Fourteenth st.

Telephone Call.....28 Editorial Rooms.....22

Business Office.....28

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

One year, without Sunday.....\$12.00

One year, with Sunday.....14.00

Six months, without Sunday.....7.00

Three months, without Sunday.....3.50

Three months, with Sunday.....4.50

One month, without Sunday.....1.20

One month, with Sunday.....1.50

Delivered by carrier in city, 5 cents per week.

Per Year.....\$1.00

Reduced Rates to Clubs.

Subscribe with any of our numerous agents, or send subscriptions to the

JOURNAL NEWSPAPER COMPANY,

INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Persons sending the Journal through the mails in the United States should put on an eight-cent paper

postage stamp; on a twelve-cent paper, a two-cent postage stamp. Foreign postage is usually double these rates.

All communications intended for publication in this paper must, in order to receive attention, be accompanied by the name and address of the writer.

THE INDIANAPOLIS JOURNAL

Can be found at the following places:

PARKS—American Exchange in Park, 26 Bealeward

and Capricious.

NEW YORK—Giltsey House and Windsor Hotel.

PHILADELPHIA—A. F. Kemble, 275 Lancaster

street.

CHICAGO—Palmer House.

CINCINNATI—J. B. Hawley & Co., 154 Vine street.

LOUISVILLE—C. T. Deering, northwest corner

Third and Jefferson streets.

ST. LOUIS—Union News Company, Union Depot

and Southern Hotel.

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Biggs House and Ebbitt

House.

SIXTEEN PAGES

The Sunday Journal has double the circulation

of any Sunday paper in Indiana.

Price five cents.

The value of the silver in one of our

legal-tender dollars, measured in the money

of commerce and the prices of the

world, is 75 cents.

The person who starts a rumor to the

effect that a man like Mr. Blaine has been

assassinated labors under the delusion that

he is a practical joker instead of being a

life candidate for an asylum for the very

feeble-minded.

If the promise of a bountiful wheat

crop in this State is realized farmers will

have the means with which to pay the

largely increased taxes imposed by that

first premium misfit known as the

Indiana Legislature of 1891.

The very early and great activity of

the fool friends of a few prominent pub-

lic men in this country would be fatal

to their futures did not the American

people realize that no man is responsible

for such friends. They attack them-

selves to prominent men in spite of their

efforts to shake them off.

The proposed military encampment

in this city is deserving of a hearty and

liberal support. It will draw more peo-

ple here and result in the expenditure of

more money than any other entertain-

ment of recent years. Besides, it will be

an excellent advertisement for the city.

The public should guarantee it a

liberal endorsement.

One of the national commissioners of

the world's fair, from Wisconsin, has re-

signed, and the other will do so because

the Legislature has appropriated but

\$65,000 to make an exhibit for that State

and created eight officers to expend it.

The Wisconsin Legislature was about

as short-sighted as that of Indiana in

this important matter.

The action of the German Emperor in

transferring to new hands the author-

ship of a history of the founding of the

empire because the original author

made Bismarck too prominent a savor of

littleness. In any truthful history of

the founding of the empire it would be

impossible to give Bismarck a more

prominent position than the world has

already assigned him, and which his

idea come to us with this antagonistic

GENERAL WOLSELEY'S MISCONCEPTION OF

THE AMERICAN SOLDIER.

In this issue may be found the first

installment of a paper upon General Sher-

man by General Viscount Wolseley, who

is to-day the best educated soldier in

the British army. It is important to

call attention to this fact at the outset,

for the reason that the American or the

well-informed foreigner will be sur-

prised that a man with such reputation

could be so ignorant of the armies and

the operations of one of the most im-

portant wars of the century. The air of

arrogant self-complacency which per-

meades his views will most likely annoy

many readers when they remember that

this man, who loftily, and even con-

temptuously, talks of a war which no

European soldier studies to-day because

of the inferiority of leadership and sol-

dierly, never commanded in actual war

a body of troops as large as a brigade, and

whose success in fighting barbarians

was not pronounced. He would have

his readers understand that the

rebellion was no war because the

troops on both sides were not "regulars"

and their officers had not been members

of titled families and educated in the

barracks if not the military schools of

Europe. He makes no attempt to con-

ceal his contempt for what in our war

were called "veterans," men hardened

by two or three severe campaigns.

General Wolseley's difficulty appears

to be that he has confounded the men

who fought the war of the rebellion with

those barbarous races which Great

Britain has fought exclusively for a third

of a century in its conquests for terri-

tory and markets. It must have been

his experience in this sort of warfare

which has led him to declare that our

"raw levies" could not have stood up

successfully against an equal number of

well-drilled soldiers like those of Euro-

pean armies. In arriving at this con-

clusion he could not have consulted the

history of Great Britain's campaigns in

America. If he had he would have

learned that the "embattled farmers" at

Lexington, so "fresh levies" that they

were called minute men, caused some-

thing like an equal number of British

regulars to make all possible haste until

safely under the guns of Boston, in April,

1775; that in the June following men

who "had never worn a soldier's coat a

day," at Bunker Hill beat off regiments

of British regulars far outnumbering

them, until empty powder-horns com-

pelled them to sulkily retire from the

field; that a year later Burgoyne sur-

rendered the flower of the British army

up the Hudson to an army of raw

levies, led by men who knew little of

military science; and finally, that an-

other army of regulars surrendered at

Yorktown to another raw army, baffled

in strategy and beaten in tactics by

George Washington, who could not have

been a soldier whose campaigns were

entitled to a moment's attention by

European students of the science of war.

General Wolseley's ignorance is more

amazing when he applies the phrase

"loose fighting" to the conflicts of the

rebellion. True, there was the surprise

at Pittsburg Landing, to which he calls

attention, but there were Vicksburg,

Gettysburg, Chattanooga, the Wilder-

ness and scores of fields where the men

of both sides fought with the steadiness

and effect of veterans. Losses are

the criterion of fighting. The forces en-

gaged at Waterloo and Gettysburg were

nearly equal—70,000 and 50,000 on each

side—and the aggregate losses in both

quired the machine part of the soldier

SUNDAY AND THE WORLD'S FAIR.

The question as to whether the world's

fair, to be held in Chicago in 1893, should

be open to the public on Sundays is not

disturbing the average citizen to any

extent at this stage of proceedings; but

the New York Independent sends dan-

ger afar off, and, in order to discover

the drift of opinion on the subject, has

obtained the views of a large number of

prominent persons. Some weeks ago

it published letters from a number of

leading public men, United States Sen-

ators and others, in which a variety of

opinions were expressed concerning the

matter. Some favored closing the doors

from Saturday until Monday; some

would open them Sunday afternoons;

others would open the art department

only, while a few were non-committal.

In its latest issue the Independent gives

the result of its correspondence with

ninety-seven bishops of the various re-

ligious bodies of the United States. The

same difference exists in the views of

other prelates that was shown by the

other correspondents, but the charac-

ter of this division of sentiment and the

lines upon which it is drawn are rather

more significant. The eleven bishops of

the Methodist Episcopal Church, the

Methodist Episcopal Church, the three

of the United Brethren, the three of

the Evangelical Association, the five

of the African Zion Church, the ten of

the Methodist Episcopal Church South,

the three of the Moravian Church, the

two of the Colored Methodist Episcopal

Church—all are in favor of closing. Of

the six bishops of the African Methodist

Episcopal Church five agree that the

doors should be closed, while one would

have them open half the day. Of the

bishops of the Protestant Episcopal

Church twenty-two would close the

doors, two would open them in the after-

noons, one is non-committal. Of the six

archbishops of the Roman Catholic

Church three favor closing and three

approve of having them open in the

afternoons, with certain limitations, such

as the machinery departments and others

involving active labor on the part of

attendants. Of the Catholic bishops

four would close, eleven would open,

and one declines to express an opinion.

Some of these ecclesiastical gentle-

men give at considerable length the

reasons for their views. Summed up,

these reasons are, on one side, that the

sanctity of the Christian Sabbath should

be preserved; that it is a day for rest

and religious observance, and that the

interests of religion and morality will

be served by closing the doors; on the

other, that the people who labor six days

in the week will have no other time in

which to see the exposition. A number

of the latter view also advance the ar-

gument that if the fair is closed on the

first day in the week, thousands of stran-

gers who might otherwise spend their

hours innocently will patronize evil resor-

ts, and thus suffer ill that might

have been averted. It is interesting to

note that the bishops who favor the

opening are those who come in closest

contact with the class of people who

would be most affected by the final

decision—the people who have no leisure

save on Sunday and who have no vaca-

tions. It may be said of the Catho-

lic bishops advocating the opening that

they are influenced by the foreign

ideas of their church members, but it

will not do to ascribe all their lib-

erality to this cause. It is true that

parads as many that are larger, were

THE GROWTH OF CITIES.

The growth of cities in this country

is one of the most important features

presented by the bulletins of the Census

Office, for the reason that it shows

that a wonderful transformation is going

on in the dwelling-places and conse-

quently in the vocations of the inhab-

itants of the United States. In the bul-

letin just issued the urban population,

like that of its predecessors, is made to

include the populations of all cities and

towns of 8,000 inhabitants and upwards.

Such population was 18,285,670 of a

total of 62,622,350, or 29.12 per cent. If

all the villages of four or five thousand in-

habitants, which are as numerous as

cities, which are as numerous as